

M1 INTERMEDIATE ECONOMETRICS Examples of nonlinear least squares

Koen Jochmans François Poinas

 $2024 - 2025$

This deck of slides goes through examples of nonlinear least squares (NLLS).

Hansen treats NLLS in Chapter 23.

For the current set of slides the relevant sections in Hansen are H 23.1–H23.3

H25.1–H25.4 and H25.9

H26.11

Asymptotics for NLLS will be done later on.

The negative exponential-growth model is

$$
Y = \alpha(1 - \exp(-\beta X)) + e, \qquad \mathbb{E}(e|X) = 0.
$$

Parsimonious specification that yields a CEF that is monotone increasing and bounded.

However, this specification is nonlinear in parameters $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)'.$

A CES production function for output *Y* with two (equally-weighted) inputs X_1, X_2 is

$$
Y = A\left(X_1^{\gamma} + X_2^{\gamma}\right)^{1/\gamma}
$$

with

- *A* random factor productivity, and
- *γ* the substitution parameter (substitution elasticity is $1/(1 \rho)$).

Let $\alpha = \mathbb{E}(A|X_1, X_2)$ so that $A = \alpha u$ for $\mathbb{E}(u|X_1, X_2) = 1$. Then

$$
Y = \alpha \left(X_1^{\gamma} + X_2^{\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} u
$$

or

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y|X_1, X_2) = \alpha (X_1^{\gamma} + X_2^{\gamma})^{1/\gamma}.
$$

Here, $\theta = (\alpha, \gamma)'$.

Note that we can take logs on the left and right of the above equation to arrive at

$$
\log(Y) = \log(\alpha) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \log(X_1^{\gamma} + X_2^{\gamma}) + \log(u).
$$

However, $\mathbb{E}(u|X_1, X_2) = 1$ does not imply that

$$
\mathbb{E}(\log(u)|X_1, X_2) = 0
$$

unless *u* is independent of X_1, X_2 .

Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}(\log(Y)|X_1, X_2) \neq \log(\alpha) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \log(X_1^{\gamma} + X_2^{\gamma})
$$

in general.

In either case, the specification is nonlinear in parameters.

For non-negative outcomes $Y \geq 0$ a popular specification has

$$
Y = \exp(X'\theta) e, \qquad \mathbb{E}(e|X) = 1.
$$

As before, one often sees log-linearization

$$
\log(Y) = X'\theta + \log(e)
$$

but the above does not imply that $\mathbb{E}(\log(Y)|X) = X'\theta$ unless *u* and *X* are independent. (The intercept in θ must in any event be redefined to include E(log *e*) in this case.)

Note that the log operation here is not well-defined when $\mathbb{P}(Y=0) > 0$.

Another common specification for binary outcomes $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ has

$$
Y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X'\theta \ge e \\ 0 & \text{if } X'\theta < e \end{cases}
$$

where *e* is independent of *X* and has CDF *F*.

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \mathbb{P}(e \le X'\theta|X) = F(X'\theta).
$$

Let

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = m(X, \theta)
$$

be known up to vector θ .

Then

$$
Y = m(X, \theta) + e, \qquad \mathbb{E}(e|X) = 0.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left((Y - m(X, \theta))^2\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left((Y - m(X, \tilde{\theta}))^2\right)
$$
 for any vector $\tilde{\theta}$.

This suggests estimating *θ* by minimizing

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - m(X_i, \theta))^2
$$

with respect to *θ*.

This is the nonlinear least-squares (NLLS) estimator.

Usually, a closed-form expression for it is not available.

It is defined as a root to the equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial m(X_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} (Y_i - m(X_i, \theta)) = 0,
$$

which can be found by numerical methods.

Newton's algorithm

Newton-Raphson is a popular root-finding algorithm.

Want to solve $\varphi(x) = 0$. Let x_0 be an initial guess. For a new guess x_1 we have

$$
\left. \frac{\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} \approx \left. \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x} \right|_{x = x_0} = \varphi'(x_0).
$$

So,

$$
\varphi(x_0) + (x_1 - x_0) \varphi'(x_0) \approx \varphi(x_1).
$$

We want that $\varphi(x_1) = 0$. Solving for x_1 yields

$$
x_1 = x_0 - \varphi(x_0) / \varphi'(x_0)
$$

as our new guess.

In practice, if the function does not improve at x_1 we re-evaluate in $x'_1 = x_0 - h(x_0 - x_1)$ for $h \in (0,1)$ a step size and re-evaluate. We then iterate this procedure until no further improvement (up to some specified tolerance level) is found.

In the linear CEF specification

$$
m(X, \theta) = X'\theta, \qquad \frac{\partial m(X_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = X
$$

and so we solve

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i (Y_i - X_i' \theta) = 0,
$$

which are the normal equations for OLS.

Here,

$$
m(X, \theta) = \exp(X'\theta),
$$
 $\frac{\partial m(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = X \exp(X'\theta)$

and so we solve

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp(X_i'\theta) X_i(Y_i - \exp(X_i'\theta)) = 0.
$$

Here,

$$
m(X, \theta) = F(X'\theta), \qquad \frac{\partial m(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = Xf(X'\theta)
$$

for $f(a) = \partial F(a)/\partial a$ and so we solve

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i'\theta)X_i(Y_i - F(X_i'\theta)) = 0.
$$

For example for probit, $F(a) = \Phi(a)$ and we solve

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(X'_i \theta) X_i (Y_i - \Phi(X'_i \theta)) = 0.
$$

We will do asymptotics for NLLS later.

Typically, NLLS is not asymptotically efficient.

An example is OLS, which is efficient only under homoskedasticity.

A GLS argument suggests that the efficient estimating equation is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial m(X_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{\text{var}(Y_i | X_i)} (Y_i - m(X_i, \theta)) = 0.
$$

If

$$
Y = \exp(X'\theta) e, \qquad \mathbb{E}(e|X) = 1
$$

then

$$
var(Y|X) = var(e|X) exp(X'\theta)^{2}
$$

so that, if $var(Y|X) = \sigma^2$ we have that NLLS is optimal; in this case

$$
\text{var}(e|X) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\exp(X'\theta)^2}
$$

so that *e* is heteroskedastic. If, on the other hand, $var(e|X) = \sigma^2$ then the solution to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{\exp(X_i'\theta)} (Y_i - \exp(X_i'\theta)) = 0
$$

is asymptotically efficient.

If $Y|X$ is Poisson distributed then

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = \text{var}(Y|X)
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i (Y_i - \exp(X_i' \theta)) = 0
$$

is optimal.

We will derive this estimator as the MLE for this model.

If $Y \in \{0,1\}$ with

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = \Phi(X'\theta)
$$

we have

$$
var(Y|X) = \Phi(X'\theta) (1 - \Phi(X'\theta))
$$

and so the optimal estimator for θ here solves

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi(X'_i \theta)}{\Phi(X'_i \theta) (1 - \Phi(X'_i \theta))} X_i (Y_i - \Phi(X'_i \theta)) = 0.
$$

This is the probit estimator.

We will derive this estimator as the MLE for this model.

Nevertheless,

$$
Y = m(X, \theta) + e, \qquad \mathbb{E}(e|X) = 0
$$

implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}(g(X)\,e)=0
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X_i) (Y_i - m(X_i, \theta)) = 0
$$

is a valid estimating equation for any choice of function *g*.